



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: ZOPPAS, et al. EXAMINER: LUK, Emmanuel S.  
SERIAL NO.: 10/541,129 ART UNIT: 1791  
FILED: November 29, 2006 CONFIRMATION NO.: 4522  
TITLE: INJECTION DEVICE AND PROCESS FOR PLASTIC OBJECTS

STATEMENT OF FILING BY EXPRESS MAIL 37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.10

This correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on **March 17, 2009** in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mail Label Number **EB 908 954 855 US** addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

ATTORNEY DOCKET 207,059

Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

March 17, 2009

SIR:

This is in response to the first Office Action dated September 17, 2008 in which claims 1 through 11, being all of the pending claims, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over Coran (US 2003/003187) in view of Brun (USP 5,653,934). Applicants have carefully considered the grounds of rejection and the disclosures of the two patent documents relied upon in rejecting the claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). It is applicants' position that certain essential limitations of the claims have either been misconstrued or overlooked in applying the cited disclosures of the references.

Applicants therefore respectfully request reconsideration of the rejection set forth in the Office Action. In particular, applicants respectfully submit that the analysis of the disclosure of